

# Will government debt boil the frog?

#### From the Field August 2024

# Key Insights

- The U.S. debt-to-gross domestic product (GDP) ratio is near an all-time high and is projected to continue increasing.

**Sébastien Page** CIO and Head of Global Multi-Asset

- But the U.S. has reduced its debt-to-GDP ratio significantly before. This happened three times: after WWI, after WWII, and in the 1990s.
- From an investment perspective, after researching this topic, I'm not too worried about the impact of the high debt on the economy and markets over the next 12 months.

S low and incremental changes can lull business leaders into complacency. Here's a popular metaphor in business strategy meetings: Self-satisfied companies are like a frog sitting in a pot of slowly heating water—they won't notice anything's amiss until they're boiled alive.

If your competitors are taking market share a little bit every year, ask yourself: Are you in danger of becoming a slow-boiled frog?

A good example of this metaphor in macroeconomics might be the slowly but surely increasing level of U.S. government debt. It hasn't had disastrous economic consequences, but the temperature has been rising. Is the water about to boil?

(*The slow-boiling frog story is a fabrication*. Dr. George R. Zug, curator of reptiles and amphibians at the National Museum of Natural History, uses a different term (similar to "bullfrog"), and insists that "if a frog had a means of getting out, it certainly would get out."1)

Over the last couple of weeks, I worked on this question with Blerina Uruçi, T. Rowe Price's chief U.S. economist. She deserves much of the credit for the following analysis, although errors and opinions are my own.

Perhaps we have the means of getting out from under this mountain of debt. Perhaps we will. We have done so in the past. And if we don't, perhaps the temperature will stay constant for quite a while, and we'll learn to live with a high level of debt.

To tell you the truth, I hesitated to write about this topic. It can get political very fast. But I decided to publish this note because I continue getting questions on the scary level of U.S. government debt from clients. I'll focus on economics and financial markets. I'll present both sides: reasons to worry and reasons not to worry about the debt. Please read what follows as an apolitical analysis.

<sup>1</sup> From the Oct. 95 issue of *Fast Company*. <u>https://www.sagaleadership.com/blog/management-myth-busted-the-boiled-frog? sm\_pdc=1& sm\_rid=NsMTk6jSs7H2Q2RD7j6VD7Mq3Fq3DVk66Nt0j5q</u>

Here's where my LinkedIn followers stood on a recent survey: 44% of you are worried, 9% don't know, and 47% are not worried. This split result reveals that it's a topic worth discussing.

How worried are you about the impact of the high level of U.S. government debt on economic growth and risk assets over the next 12 months? The author can see how you vote. Learn more				
I'm worried 🥑	44%			
I don't know 🥥	9%			
I'm not worried 🥥	47%			
629 votes · Poll closed				

## **Reasons to worry**

The U.S. debt-to-gross domestic product (GDP) ratio is near an all-time high and is projected to continue increasing, as shown below. The global financial crisis of 2008, COVID stimulus, and continued budget deficits contributed to this situation.



Sources: Dates for U.S. Debt to GDP 1900 to 1942—Jordà-Schularick-Taylor, Macrohistory Database; 1943 to 2023—St. Louis FRED; 2024 to 2029 Projections—Office of Management and Budget/Haver Analytics. Source for Recession Classification: National Bureau of Economic Research/Haver Analytics.

Given higher rates and a large stock of debt, interest expense has risen to near an all-time high and is projected to continue increasing until it surpasses any level ever seen as a percentage of GDP in the U.S.

In 2024, the interest expense is projected to rise above the cost of national defense. It's expected to become the third-largest expense after Medicare/Medicaid and Social Security. Based on his research on decades (even centuries) of data across countries, historian Niall Ferguson has issued an ominous warning about this type of situation:





"If you really want to see when an empire is getting vulnerable, the big giveaway is when the costs of servicing the debt exceed the cost of the defense budget."<sup>2</sup>

Are you worried yet? Let me give you one more reason to lose sleep over the debt: The current level of deficits (excluding debt service) is unusually high. It's not consistent with 4% unemployment, which typically gives little need to stimulate the economy, at least based on historical data. Deficits ballooned as could be expected during the pandemic, but we've had difficulty readjusting to normal.

The chart below shows that based on the historical relationship, excluding the extraordinary pandemic years of 2020 and 2021, the current level of deficits would be more consistent with unemployment in the 8% range. To see this, take the yellow dot for 2023 and draw a line to the historical relationship (blue dotted line).



# (Fig. 3) U.S. deficit (primary balance) vs. U.S. unemployment (excluding 2020 and 2021)

Sources: Primary Balance % of GDP: CBO/Haver Analytics; Unemployment Rate: BLS/Haver Analytics from 1965 through 2023. Note: 2020 and 2021 data points have been omitted due to impact of pandemic response.

For my LinkedIn survey, I focused on the 12-month horizon. But several of these issues may be "slow boiling." Had I asked my followers if they were worried about government debt with a 5- or 10-year horizon, perhaps the results would have tilted on the worried side.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> At the Aspen Ideas Festival, 2010, <u>https://www.businessinsider.com/niall-ferguson-the-us-has-6-years-before-debt-payments-surpass-defense-spending-2010-7</u>

## **Reasons not to worry**

What are the reasons not to worry?

First, the effect of higher rates may be muted because much of the long-term borrowing took place when rates were at rock-bottom levels. The chart below shows that the effective interest rate—the weighted average rate the U.S. government pays on its debt, as opposed to the current interest rate—remains low.

# (Fig. 4) Effective interest rate



Sources: Average Interest Rate: Treasury/Haver Analytics from 1952 to 2023; \*CBO Projection sourced from Congressional Budget Office with projections covering 2024 through 2054.

The current average mortgage rate in the U.S. is over 7%.<sup>3</sup> When I presented at a conference a few weeks ago, I asked over 300 attendees to raise their hands if their mortgage rate on their house was below 4%. About 90% of attendees raised their hands. Most homeowners refinanced their mortgage when rates were much lower. The effective mortgage rate in the U.S. is 3.78%, much lower than the current rate of 7% for new 30-year mortgages.

Corporations and the U.S. government similarly refinanced their long-term debt at ultralow rates. As a result, the 550 basis points in Fed hikes have impacted the economy much less than expected.

You might counter that the amount of debt is so high that the total dollar value of the interest expense is skyrocketing. Yes, but the Fed is expected to cut rates. So long-term rates may not bite as much if they come down before the government needs to roll the maturing long-term debt. (This assumes that short- and long-term rates are correlated, which is not always true.) And lower short-term rates will provide immediate relief for the stock of short-term debt.

To be clear, I don't know how strong this argument is because it contradicts the official U.S. Congressional Budget Office (CBO) projections for net interest expense, as shown earlier.<sup>4</sup>

Another reason not to worry is that the U.S. has reduced its debt-to-GDP ratio significantly before. This happened three times: after WWI, after WWII, and in the **1990s.** Figure 1, titled "U.S. debt, percent of U.S. GDP," identifies these periods with red bars on the x-axis.

<sup>3</sup>Bloomberg. Bankrate 30Y Mortgage Rates Index, 7/18/2024. <sup>4</sup><u>https://www.cbo.gov/publication/60419</u> There are three ways to reduce the debt-to-GDP ratio:

1. reduce the deficit (spend less or tax more);

2. reduce the cost of the debt by reducing interest rates, as discussed above; and

3. grow GDP (at a higher rate than the real interest rate).<sup>5</sup>

(Inflation is a fourth way, but it doesn't always work. There are nuances with government debt. Inflation increases the denominator (GDP), increases tax revenues, and devalues the money used to pay the interest on the debt (per my intuitive example). These effects reduce debt.

However, inflation tends to increase the interest rate and government expenses. Academics continue to debate the net effect of inflation on the debt-to-GDP ratio, which depends on many variables. "The bottom line is that if you want to inflate away your debt, you also need to cap interest rates, which is called financial repression," as Blerina explains.)

These debt reduction measures are difficult and unlikely in the current political environment—and any one alone seems insufficient. Also, pushing deficit reductions or financial repression too hard could have negative side effects.

Still, perhaps we'll combine these measures with decent GDP growth so that the frog can jump out before it boils. It's been done before.





Source: IMF/Haver Analytics from 1900 to 2029. Represented as Public Debt (% of GDP) from 1900 through 2015 and General Government Gross Debt (% of GDP) from 2016 through 2029. Data from 2024 through 2029 are IMF projections.

Another popular reason not to worry is the strong demand for U.S. debt. Even if the ratio of debt to GDP is increasing and inflation could devalue the U.S. dollar, Arslanalp and Eichengreen (2023) explain that there's a "global safe-asset shortage." Debt-to-GDP ratios are rising everywhere. The chart above shows the examples of the UK, Italy, and Japan.

<sup>5</sup> Here's a nice framework proposed by Arslanalp and Eichengreen at the Jackson Hole meeting in 2023:

$$\begin{split} &\Delta \Big(\frac{Debt}{GDP}\Big)_t \approx (r-g) * \Big(\frac{Debt}{GDP}\Big)_{t-1} - \Big(\frac{Primary\ balance}{GDP}\Big)_t \\ &\Delta D_t \approx (r-g) * D_{t-1} + \Big(\frac{Deficit}{GDP}\Big)_t \quad {}^*\text{Here, "deficit" exludes}_{interest\ payments.} \end{split}$$

The second equation simplifies the first one. "D" is debt expressed as a percentage of GDP, "r" is the real interest rate, "g" is real GDP growth, and "Deficit" is the primary balance, which means expenses minus revenues, excluding the interest on the debt.

Hence, U.S. debt may remain the cleanest dirty shirt, and the U.S. dollar may remain the reserve currency held by central banks and used for financial transactions—a privilege that allows the U.S. to enjoy "deficits without tears," a French economist once ruefully observed.<sup>6</sup>

Also, we can live with higher debt levels. In this scenario, the frog can adapt to warmer water. Have you ever heard of the most famous Excel error ever made in economics? Here are two of my favorite headlines about it:

From Bloomberg Business Week (April 18, 2013):

#### "Reinhart, Rogoff, and the Excel Error That Changed History"

From The Conversation (April 22, 2013):

#### "The Reinhart-Rogoff error - or how not to Excel at economics"

In their original study, respected economists Carmen Reinhart and Kenneth Rogoff concluded that countries with a debt-to-GDP ratio above 90% experienced negative growth (-0.1%). However, when another team of academics tried to replicate the study, their result was +2.2%. Reinhart and Rogoff "had not selected the entire row when averaging growth figures: They omitted data from Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, and Denmark."<sup>7</sup>

As a caveat, despite the obvious error, the academic debate continues on this question. My conclusion is that it's not a given that high debt means low growth. It depends, and the U.S. is in a unique situation, given the high demand for its debt.

Last, during periods of declining debt—perhaps counterintuitively given that fiscal austerity should typically restrain growth—it turns out that stocks performed better than average, as shown below. Remember that you can also improve the debt-to-GDP ratio by growing the economy.

<sup>6</sup> Rueff, Jacques. 1972. The Monetary Sin of the West. New York: The MacMillan Company. <sup>7</sup> https://theconversation.com/the-reinhart-rogoff-error-or-how-not-to-excel-at-economics-13646

# (Fig. 6) U.S. stocks vs. U.S. bonds when debt/GDP was declining

	First Year	Last Year	Starting Debt to GDP	Ending Debt to GDP	Stocks- Bonds
Post-WWI	1922	1929	32%	16%	16%
Post-WWII	1947	1974	119%	31%	9%
1900s	1993	2001	63%	55%	7%
				Average	10%
All Years	1900	2023			7%

#### Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

Sources: For Debt to GDP, see above. 1900 to 1942, Jordà-Schularick-Taylor Macrohistory Database; 1943 to 2023, St. Louis FRED. Stocks represented by U.S. large-cap equity returns, 1900–1925, Jordà-Schularick-Taylor Macrohistory Database; 1926–2023, Morningstar Direct (SBBI historical data). Bonds represented by U.S. long-term government bond returns, 1900–1925, Jordà-Schularick-Taylor Macrohistory Database; 1926–2023, Morningstar Direct (SBBI historical data).

# Takeaways

The level of U.S. government debt creates vulnerabilities, especially if we face a recession. Debt has reached unprecedented levels. The cost of paying the interest on this debt is surpassing defense spending. This is a long-term issue.

However, there are reasons not to panic, at least not for now. We've brought debt levels down before, but if we can't, there's some evidence that high debt doesn't automatically kill growth—especially for a country whose historically safe debt is in high demand.

From an investment perspective, after researching this topic, I'm not too worried about the impact of the high debt on the economy and markets over the next 12 months.

To end on a low note, however (because ending on a high note is cliché), the long-term question remains: Is the temperature slowly rising toward a point when U.S. debt is no longer considered the safest asset in the world?

Thank you to Blerina Uruçi, Rob Panariello, Charles Shriver, and Chris Faulkner-MacDonagh for their help with this analysis.

#### INVEST WITH CONFIDENCE™

T. Rowe Price identifies and actively invests in opportunities to help people thrive in an evolving world, bringing our dynamic perspective and meaningful partnership to clients so they can feel more confident.

#### Additional Disclosure

©2024 Morningstar, Inc. All rights reserved. The information contained herein: (1) is proprietary to Morningstar and/or its content providers; (2) may not be copied or distributed; and (3) is not warranted to be accurate, complete, or timely. Neither Morningstar nor its content providers are responsible for any damages or losses arising from any use of this information. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

#### Important Information

This material is provided for informational purposes only and is not intended to be investment advice or a recommendation to take any particular investment action.

The views contained herein are those of the authors as of August 2024 and are subject to change without notice; these views may differ from those of other T. Rowe Price associates.

This information is not intended to reflect a current or past recommendation concerning investments, investment strategies, or account types, advice of any kind, or a solicitation of an offer to buy or sell any securities or investment services. The opinions and commentary provided do not take into account the investment objectives or financial situation of any particular investor or class of investor. Please consider your own circumstances before making an investment decision.

Information and opinions presented have been obtained or derived from sources believed to be reliable and current; however, we cannot guarantee the sources' accuracy or completeness. There is no guarantee that any forecasts made will come to pass. Certain assumptions have been made for modeling purposes, and this material is not intended to predict future events. Actual future outcomes may differ materially from any estimates or forward-looking statements provided.

**Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.** All investments are subject to market risk, including the possible loss of principal. All charts and tables are shown for illustrative purposes only. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.

T. Rowe Price Investment Services, Inc., distributor. T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc., investment adviser. T. Rowe Price Investment Services, Inc., and T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc., are affiliated companies.

© 2024 T. Rowe Price. All Rights Reserved. T. ROWE PRICE, INVEST WITH CONFIDENCE, and the Bighorn Sheep design are, collectively and/or apart, trademarks of T. Rowe Price Group, Inc.