



T.RowePrice

Executive Summary

2024 Defined Contribution Consultant Study

SEPTEMBER 2024




Methodology

T. Rowe Price conducted its fourth annual Defined Contribution Consultant Study to capture current retirement views from the defined contribution (DC) consultant and advisor community.

- Consistent with prior years, the study identifies key findings related to target date solutions, retirement income, investment trends, and financial wellness programs.
- New topics explored in the 2024 research include managed accounts, alternative investments, and views on the value of active versus passive management.
- Participating in the 2024 study were 35 of the leading consultant and advisor firms (71% consultant, 29% advisor) representing more than \$7.5 trillion in assets under advisement.¹ The study was fielded January 12–March 4, 2024.

Respondent firms

- Aon
- Buck, A Gallagher Company
- Callan LLC
- Cambridge Associates
- CAPTRUST
- Cerity Partners
- Clearstead
- Commonwealth
- Curcio Webb
- Fiducient Advisors
- Francis Investment Counsel
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management
- Higginbotham
- Highland Associates
- Highland Consulting Associates, Inc.
- HUB RPW
- LCG Associates, Inc.
- Marquette Associates, Inc.
- Meketa Investment Group
- Mercer
- MSWM/Graystone
- NEPC
- Newport Group, Inc.
- NFP Retirement
- OneDigital
- QPA
- Russell Investments
- RVK, Inc.
- SageView Advisory
- Segal Marco Advisors
- UBS
- USI
- Verus
- Wilshire
- WTW



¹Assets under advisement figures are self-reported.

Target date solutions


Continued focus on fees evident in push for CITs and emerging opportunity for blend solutions

Key findings

- The consultant and advisor community continues to express strong and nearly unanimous support for transitioning to target date solutions offered in a collective investment trust (CIT) vehicle. CITs can offer fee efficiencies compared with a mutual fund equivalent, which is the primary force driving the asset shift from target date solutions offered in mutual funds to CITs. (Figure 1)
- Results suggest growing support from both consultants and advisors for target date solutions that are constructed with both active and passive investment strategies. Target date solutions built with both active and passive underlying investment vehicles can offer a lower fee profile and reduced tracking error with the benefits of active management. (Figure 1)
- Consultants and advisors express moderate to strong support for adding or increasing exposure to nontraditional bond investments in target date solutions. Furthermore, when evaluating consultant and advisor implementation preferences for fixed income strategies, return-seeking fixed income approaches (e.g., bank loans, emerging markets debt, high yield, and international or global bond) are consistently viewed as best implemented using active management. (Figure 2)

Focus on fees shines light on CITs and blend target date solutions

(Fig. 1) Please indicate the extent to which you generally support the following developments, enhancements, and modifications related to target date solutions or other qualified default investment alternatives (QDIAs).




Analyst note: Gray shading represents the 1–4 range of responses.



Learn how we design a blend solution for target date investors.

Strong conviction in active management for return-seeking fixed income strategies

(Fig. 2) What are your firm's philosophical views related to active and passive implementation by asset class or investment strategy in DC plans, either within a multi-asset or standalone investment?



Managed accounts

A potentially expanding role, though unlikely to be QDIA


Key findings

- Managed accounts are most offered as an opt-in option on a DC plan investment menu, which reflects a lack of support among consultants and advisors to position managed accounts as a qualified default investment alternative (QDIA). (Figure 3)
- Results suggest that advisors are significantly more likely than consultants to use managed accounts within a dynamic or dual QDIA structure, whereby participants are defaulted into a target date solution and moved into a managed account solution approaching retirement (e.g., approximately 15 years prior to anticipated retirement). (Figure 3)
- Overall results related to managed accounts indicate support for the value of personalization, particularly as participants near retirement; however, managed accounts appear unlikely to dethrone target date solutions as the most prevalent QDIA. (Figure 3)

Strong support for managed accounts as an opt-in option offered on the investment menu

(Fig. 3) For your DC plan clients that currently offer a managed account service, how is it likely to be positioned within the broader plan?

Rating: Least Likely (1) to Most Likely (4)  2024



Analyst note: Gray shading represents the 1–4 range of responses. Question not included in 2021 study.

Read how personalization can be integrated into the target date investment process to help improve outcomes as participants near retirement.



Retirement income

More plans taking a stance on retirement income, but not a top priority for all

Key findings

- Data suggests more DC plan sponsors are taking a stance on retirement income. In 2021, consultants and advisors described greater than half (59%) of their DC plan sponsor clients as not having a stated opinion on retirement income. In 2024, this same figure declined to 19%. While not all plan sponsors are prioritizing retirement income, they are significantly more likely today to have a view on retirement income compared to prior years.
- Consultants and advisors rate a simple systematic withdrawal capability as the most appealing strategy or solution to deliver retirement income to retired participants, which reflects a near-term focus on supporting plan sponsors in repositioning the DC plan to facilitate regular, repeatable, and predictable income. (Figure 4)
- Managed accounts with an income planning feature and target date investments that offer a managed payout feature or an embedded annuity feature round out the top solutions that consultants and advisors identify as most appealing to deliver retirement income. Results point toward a preference for a multi-asset, total portfolio solution that includes a retirement income component. (Figure 4)

Results suggest preference for multi-asset, total portfolio solutions with retirement income as a component

(Fig. 4) Which strategies or solutions are most appealing for the delivery of retirement income?

Rating: Least Appealing (1) to Most Appealing (4)

DC Consultants and Advisors
Simple systematic withdrawal
Managed account (with income-planning feature)
Target date investment with managed payout feature (non-insured)
Target date investment with embedded annuity feature
Standalone managed payout investment
Investment that incorporates a partial guarantee
Deferred income annuity (DIA) or qualified longevity annuity contract (QLAC)
Annuity portal (access to out-of-plan annuities)
Immediate annuity
Bond ladder-based investment options



Read how our patent-pending five-dimensional framework can help with retirement income product evaluation.

Higher rates likely to spur increased activity

Key findings

- Consistent with the 2023 key findings, views from the consultant and advisor community on fixed income and capital preservation investment options continue to rapidly evolve as interest rates move off historic lows post-global financial crisis.
- In 2021, less than half (48%) of consultant and advisor firms pointed to “greater focus on diversification opportunities” as a factor influencing their evaluation of fixed income investment options compared with 89% in the 2024 research. This finding is consistent with the results related to target date solutions, where “adding or increasing exposure to nontraditional bond investments” garnered relatively more support than other potential target date asset allocation modifications.
- Notably, “poor performance” as a key trend influencing the evaluation of fixed income investment options also experienced a meaningful increase in the percent of respondent firms selecting this response—from roughly one-quarter (26%) of consultant and advisor firms in 2021 to 60% in 2024. Data suggest that consultants and advisors may be revisiting fixed income investment options to move away from underperforming managers and toward fixed income strategies that are more diversified and less tethered to the Bloomberg U.S. Aggregate Bond Index. (Figure 5)
- Interest rates and the broader market environment have spurred consultants and advisors to anticipate increased capital preservation activity. Rapidly rising rates in the U.S. resulted in stable value performance lagging money market funds, an unusual dynamic that reignited the stable value versus money market debate. (Figure 5)
- Looking to the future, results suggest there may be a growing opportunity to consider how a capital preservation product can be repositioned within a DC plan to take advantage of higher yields and support participants in generating income while in retirement. (Figure 6)

Discover why we believe stable value remains an attractive option relative to money market funds.



Growing need for diversification and performance challenges influence evaluation of fixed income investment options

(Fig. 5) To what extent have the following trends influenced your evaluation of fixed income investment options?


Greater focus on fixed income diversification opportunities
Current interest rate environment
Interest rate expectations (i.e., duration risk)
Inflation concerns (i.e., seeking inflation mitigation, higher yield to combat deteriorating purchasing power)
Poor performance
Manager instability
Increased plan sponsor focus on retirement income
Increased interest in multi-manager fixed income investment options (i.e., white label)

2021	2024	Change
48%	89%	41%
89%	86%	-3%
81%	77%	-4%
70%	63%	-7%
26%	60%	34%
22%	37%	15%
48%	29%	-19%
19%	20%	1%



Expectations for increased capital preservation activity

(Fig. 6) What capital preservation activity do you expect from clients in the next 12–18 months?



Analyst note: Gray shading represents the 1–4 range of responses.

*Statement not included in 2021 study.



Investment trends

Active and passive implementation beliefs, alternatives in DC, and ESG challenges


Key findings

- Consultants and advisors point to the “belief that limiting cost is the most important consideration,” followed by “fear of litigation,” as the most influential factors driving increased use of passive management. These two data points reflect the unfortunate, but common, misconception in the DC market that selecting the lowest-cost option can shield plan fiduciaries from excessive fee allegations—a view that is false and not supported by ERISA, which focuses on value for cost. (Figure 7)
- Results also suggest that increased use of passive management can be motivated by the desire “to protect against benchmark deviation (i.e., lower tracking error).” This data point appears to align with growing interest in strategies that are actively managed, but with an explicit objective of maintaining low tracking error. (Figure 7)
- While “alternative investments in DC” appears to be coming back into vogue as an industry topic, results suggest that the consultant and advisor community does not identify any of the five categories of alternative investments offered in the survey as likely to be widely implemented in DC plans. A custom target date solution is the most likely vehicle for implementation of direct real estate, private credit, private equity, and hedge funds, while commodities are considered as most likely to be implemented in an off-the-shelf target date solution. (Figure 8)
- ESG integration is identified by most respondents (71%) as the best method of implementation within DC plans; however, the consultant and advisor community continues to view the evolving regulatory and legislative developments related to ESG as challenging.



Focus on cost and fear of litigation are primary drivers of increased use of passive management

(Fig. 7) What is motivating plan sponsors to modify or change DC investment options with respect to passive management?



Analyst note: Gray shading represents the 1–4 range of responses. Question not included in 2021 study.


Read a legal perspective on selecting, evaluating, and monitoring investments in DC plans.



Unlikely that alternative investments will become broadly offered in DC plans

(Fig. 8) Left chart: Which alternative investment strategies are most likely to be incorporated into DC plans over the next 12 months?

Right chart: Where are these alternative investment strategies most likely to be implemented?



Analyst note: Gray shading represents the 1–4 range of responses. Question not included in 2021 study.

Financial wellness programs

Accumulation savings goals are most important, but emergency savings a topic of increasing emphasis


Key findings

- Consultants and advisors cite "improve overall worker satisfaction and retention" as the top objective motivating plan sponsors to invest in financial wellness programs. Notably, this objective was also identified by nearly all respondents (90%) as the most measurable. Other objectives such as "reduce employee financial stress" or "increase employee productivity" received high scores in terms of objectives that motivate plan sponsors to offer a financial wellness program, but they were described by fewer respondents as generally proven to be measurable in terms of impact and return on investment (58% and 38%, respectively).³
- Under the broad umbrella of financial wellness topics, consultants and advisors point to "building emergency savings" as increasing in relative importance for plan sponsors. Furthermore, 70% of consultant and advisor respondents believe that in-plan emergency savings programs are poised to increase in prevalence within the next three to five years. (Figure 9)

Building emergency savings is a growing area of emphasis within financial wellness

(Fig. 9) Left chart: What financial wellness topics matter most to plan sponsors?

Right chart: How do you think this may increase, stay the same, or decrease within three to five years?



Analyst note: Gray shading represents the 1–4 range of responses.



More about this study

Participating firms also receive a quantitative analysis of business strategy-related questions included in the study, including trends on competition, growth and profitability, and firm-branded or proprietary retirement solutions.

Interested in participating? Please reach out to your T. Rowe Price representative.

Important Information

This material is provided for general and educational purposes only and is not intended to provide legal, tax, or investment advice.

This material does not provide fiduciary recommendations concerning investments or investment management; it is not individualized to the needs of any specific benefit plan or retirement investor, nor is it directed to any recipient in connection with a specific investment or investment management decision. The T. Rowe Price group of companies, including T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc., and/or its affiliates, receive revenue from T. Rowe Price investment products and services. **Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.** The value of an investment and any income from it can go down as well as up. Investors may get back less than the amount invested.

The material does not constitute a distribution, an offer, an invitation, a personal or general recommendation, or a solicitation to sell or buy any securities in any jurisdiction or to conduct any particular investment activity. The material has not been reviewed by any regulatory authority in any jurisdiction.

Information and opinions presented have been obtained or derived from sources believed to be reliable and current; however, we cannot guarantee the sources' accuracy or completeness. There is no guarantee that any forecasts made will come to pass. The views contained herein are as of the date noted on the material and are subject to change without notice; these views may differ from those of other T. Rowe Price group companies and/or associates. Under no circumstances should the material, in whole or in part, be copied or redistributed without consent from T. Rowe Price.

USA—Issued in the USA by T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc., 100 East Pratt Street, Baltimore, MD 21202, which is regulated by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. For Institutional Investors only.

© 2024 T. Rowe Price. All rights reserved. T. ROWE PRICE, INVEST WITH CONFIDENCE, and the Bighorn Sheep design are, collectively and/or apart, trademarks of T. Rowe Price Group, Inc.

CCON0191728 | 202409-3762666