

T. Rowe Price Emerging Markets Discovery Equity Pool – Proxy Voting Summary

6 months ending December 31, 2023

PROXY VOTING PROGRAM OVERVIEW

Proxy voting is a crucial link in the chain of stewardship responsibilities we execute on behalf of our clients. Each vote represents both the privileges and the responsibilities that come with owning a company's equity instruments.

We take our responsibility to vote our clients' shares very seriously - taking into account both high-level principles of corporate governance and company-specific circumstances. Our overarching objective is to cast votes to foster long-term, sustainable success for the company and its investors.

T. Rowe Price portfolio managers are ultimately responsible for the voting decisions within the strategies they manage. They receive recommendations and support from a range of internal and external resources:

- The T. Rowe Price Environmental, Social & Governance (ESG) Committee
- Our global industry analysts
- Our specialists in corporate governance and responsible investment
- ISS, our external proxy advisory firm

Our proxy voting program serves as one element of our overall relationship with corporate issuers. We use our voting power in a way that complements the other aspects of our relationship with these companies, including engagement, investment diligence, and investment decision-making.

SUMMARY OF MAJOR PROPOSAL ITEMS

The following table breaks down voting records into categories. Some categories, such as the election of directors, are universal across the markets where we invest. Other voting issues are unique to select regions. For management-sponsored proposals, a vote "FOR" is a vote aligned with the board's recommendation. For shareholder-sponsored proposals, a vote "FOR" is generally a vote contrary to the board's recommendation.

Proposals Sponsored by Company Management (Number of Proposals)		
	% Voted w/Mgmt	% Voted Against Mgm
Add/Amend anti-takeover provisions (0)	0%	0%
Reduce/repeal anti-takeover provisions (0)	0%	0%
Appoint or ratify auditors (4)	100%	0%
Capital structure provisions (12)	100%	0%
Compensation - All types (19)	95%	5%
Director and auditor compensation (4)	100%	0%
Employee stock purchase plans (0)	0%	0%
Other equity compensation plans (12)	100%	0%
Executive compensation: Say on Pay (3)	67%	33%
Election of Directors, uncontested (25)	92%	8%
Election of Directors, contested (0)	0%	0%
Amend/adopt shareholder rights (0)	0%	0%
		•
Mergers, acquisitions and reorganizations (15)	100%	0%
Mergers, acquisitions and reorganizations (15) Routine/procedural proposals (17)	100% 76%	
		0% 24%
Routine/procedural proposals (17) Proposals Sponsored by Shareholders		24%
Routine/procedural proposals (17) Proposals Sponsored by Shareholders	76%	24% % Voted Against Mgm
Routine/procedural proposals (17) Proposals Sponsored by Shareholders (Number of Proposals)	76%	
Routine/procedural proposals (17) Proposals Sponsored by Shareholders (Number of Proposals) Remove anti-takeover provisions (0)	76% % Voted w/Mgmt 0%	24% % Voted Against Mgm 0%
Routine/procedural proposals (17) Proposals Sponsored by Shareholders (Number of Proposals) Remove anti-takeover provisions (0) Compensation-related proposals (0)	76% % Voted w/Mgmt 0% 0%	24% % Voted Against Mgm 0% 0%
Routine/procedural proposals (17) Proposals Sponsored by Shareholders (Number of Proposals) Remove anti-takeover provisions (0) Compensation-related proposals (0) Separate Chair and CEO roles (0)	76% 76% % Voted w/Mgmt 0% 0% 0%	24% % Voted Against Mgm 0%
Routine/procedural proposals (17) Proposals Sponsored by Shareholders (Number of Proposals) Remove anti-takeover provisions (0) Compensation-related proposals (0) Separate Chair and CEO roles (0) Amend/adopt shareholder rights (0)	····································	24% % Voted Against Mgm 0% 0% 0% 0%
Routine/procedural proposals (17) Proposals Sponsored by Shareholders (Number of Proposals) Remove anti-takeover provisions (0) Compensation-related proposals (0) Separate Chair and CEO roles (0) Amend/adopt shareholder rights (0) Social/environmental proposals - All types (0)	····································	24% % Voted Against Mgm 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Routine/procedural proposals (17) Proposals Sponsored by Shareholders (Number of Proposals) Remove anti-takeover provisions (0) Compensation-related proposals (0) Separate Chair and CEO roles (0) Amend/adopt shareholder rights (0) Social/environmental proposals - All types (0) Social proposals (0)	····································	24% % Voted Against Mgm 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Routine/procedural proposals (17) Proposals Sponsored by Shareholders (Number of Proposals) Remove anti-takeover provisions (0) Compensation-related proposals (0) Separate Chair and CEO roles (0) Amend/adopt shareholder rights (0) Social/environmental proposals - All types (0) Social proposals (0) Disclose political/lobbying activity (0)	····································	24% % Voted Against Mgm 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Routine/procedural proposals (17) Proposals Sponsored by Shareholders (Number of Proposals) Remove anti-takeover provisions (0) Compensation-related proposals (0) Separate Chair and CEO roles (0) Amend/adopt shareholder rights (0) Social/environmental proposals - All types (0) Social proposals (0) Disclose political/lobbying activity (0) Environmental proposals (0)	····································	24% % Voted Against Mgm 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Routine/procedural proposals (17) Proposals Sponsored by Shareholders (Number of Proposals) Remove anti-takeover provisions (0) Compensation-related proposals (0) Separate Chair and CEO roles (0) Amend/adopt shareholder rights (0) Social/environmental proposals - All types (0) Social proposals (0) Disclose political/lobbying activity (0) Environmental proposals (0)		24% % Voted Against Mgm 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Routine/procedural proposals (17) Proposals Sponsored by Shareholders (Number of Proposals) Remove anti-takeover provisions (0) Compensation-related proposals (0) Separate Chair and CEO roles (0) Amend/adopt shareholder rights (0) Social/environmental proposals - All types (0) Social proposals (0) Disclose political/lobbying activity (0) Environmental proposals (0) All Proposals (Number of Proposals)	76% % Voted w/Mgmt % Voted w/Mgmt 0%	24% % Voted Against Mgm 0% 0% 0%

SIGNIFICANT VOTES

The definition of a significant vote can vary across the investment industry. At T. Rowe Price, meetings may be tagged as significant where the situation is particularly contentious, or the vote illustrates a key aspect of our voting approach. Detailed below is the summary of a resolution, how we voted, and our rationale for that voting decision. T. Rowe Price portfolio managers decide how to vote on the proxy proposals of companies in their portfolios and, as a result, may not all vote the same.

This case study describes proxy voting being carried out on behalf of the fund. This material is for informational purposes only and is not intended as an offer or recommendation concerning investments, investment strategies, products, and account types.

CASE STUDY: Prosus – Agenda item number 2		
Summary of the resolution(s)	Agenda item number 2: Approve Remuneration Report	
Country	Netherlands	
Company description	Prosus is the international internet assets arm of Naspers, a global consumer internet group and leading technology investor.	
Date of vote	August 23, 2023	
Meeting type	Annual	
Proponent	Management	
How we voted	Agenda item number 2: Against	
Rationale for the voting decision(s)	Considerable frustration among investors has been caused by the Prosus share price trading at a substantial discount to the net asset value in recent years. We, and other shareholders, have engaged with the company on this topic consistently in recent years.	
	Before we engaged with Prosus in July 2023 ahead of its annual general meeting (AGM), the company made two disappointing announcements: the non-standard discount-linked short-term incentive (STI) would not be renewed at the 2023 AGM, and the long-term incentive (LTI), which contained long-running problematic elements, was being reintroduced. We engaged with the company to communicate that we thought the discount-linked STI had been a success and to express our disappointment that it was not being renewed for another year.	
	Instead, the company has reverted to its standard remuneration approach which consists of fixed pay, bonus (STI), LTI performance share units (PSUs), LTI share appreciation rights (SARs) and LTI share options (SOs.) Our main concern is the lack of transparency into how the SARs are valued. This is particularly concerning given the overall quantum of reward is significant in the local context.	

The specific security mentioned above comprised 1.6% of the fund as of December 31, 2023

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

This material is being furnished for general informational and/or marketing purposes only. The material does not constitute or undertake to give any advice of any nature, including fiduciary investment advice, nor is it intended to serve as the primary basis for an investment decision. Prospective investors are recommended to seek independent legal, financial and tax advice before making any investment decision. T. Rowe Price group of companies including T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. and/or its affiliates receive revenue from T. Rowe Price investment products and services. **Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.** The value of an investment and any income from it can go down as well as up. Investors may get back less than the amount invested.

The material does not constitute a distribution, an offer, an invitation, a personal or general recommendation or solicitation to sell or buy any securities in any jurisdiction or to conduct any particular investment activity. The material has not been reviewed by any regulatory authority in any jurisdiction.

Information and opinions presented have been obtained or derived from sources believed to be reliable and current; however, we cannot guarantee the sources' accuracy or completeness. There is no guarantee that any forecasts made will come to pass. The views contained herein are as of the date noted on the material and are subject to change without notice; these views may differ from those of other T. Rowe Price group companies and/or associates. Under no circumstances should the material, in whole or in part, be copied or redistributed without consent from T. Rowe Price.

The material is not intended for use by persons in jurisdictions which prohibit or restrict the distribution of the material and in certain countries the material is provided upon specific request.

It is not intended for distribution to retail investors in any jurisdiction.

The Fund is an open-end unit trust under the laws of the Province of Ontario pursuant to a trust agreement between CIBC Mellon Trust Company (the "Trustee") and T. Rowe Price (Canada), Inc. (the "Manager"). The Fund is offered on the basis of the offering memorandum and is available only to Accredited Investors as defined under National Instrument 45-106.

Canada - Issued in Canada by T. Rowe Price (Canada), Inc. T. Rowe Price (Canada), Inc.'s investment management services are only available to Accredited Investors as defined under National Instrument 45-106. T. Rowe Price (Canada), Inc. enters into written delegation agreements with affiliates to provide investment management services.

© 2024 T. Rowe Price. All Rights Reserved. T. ROWE PRICE, INVEST WITH CONFIDENCE, and the Bighorn Sheep design are, collectively and/ or apart, trademarks of T. Rowe Price Group, Inc.

202308-3082745 202402-3374386